



SI-MC-78

18
23/12/08

26

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p align="center"><u>W.P. (S) No.7066/2008</u></p> <p><u>PETITIONER</u> Smt. Rukhmani Sahu, wife of Shri Prem Prakash Sahu, aged about 27 years, resident of village and post Jaishankarra, Tah. Charama, Distt. Kanker (CG)</p> <p align="center"><u>Versus</u></p> <p><u>RESPONDENTS</u> 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary Department of Panchayat Evam Gramin Vikas Vibhag, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (CG)</p> <p>2. Collector, Distt- Kanker (CG)</p> <p>3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kanker (CG)</p> <p align="center"><u>WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA</u></p> <p><u>SB: HON. SHRI DHIRENDRA MISHRA, J.</u></p> <p><u>19-12-2008</u></p> <p>Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Grievance of the petitioner is that for recruitment of 2 posts of Shiksha Karimi Grade-I under the OBC category for subject 'Geography', vacancy was advertised by the respondent No.3 vide Annexure P-4. Out of the above 2 posts, 1 was reserved for female candidate. The Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat, North Bastar, Kanker vide memorandum of Annexure P-5, dated 16th June 2008, informed the petitioner that her name has been included in the merit list and she was called upon to report on 25th June 2008 along with necessary</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>testimonials for its verification. She appeared on the given date, her testimonials were found in order after verification. However, thereafter, she has not received any communication regarding her appointment,</p> <p>The petitioner has already submitted her representation of Annexure P-6, dated 4.7.2008 in this regard. However, her representation has also not been decided till date.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the State submits that in case the petitioner files a fresh representation for redressal of her grievances, raised in this writ petition, before the respondent No.3 along with copy of this order, within a period of one week from today, the same shall be considered and decided within a further period of three weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.</p> <p>In view of the above submission of learned counsel for the State, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation for redressal of her grievances before the respondent No.3 along with a copy of this order within a week from today. On such representation being made, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondent No.3 in accordance with law on its own merits within a further period of three weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.</p>	<p>Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge</p>