



40

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WPCS 5782 सन् 2008

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)


आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>Petitioner</u> : Uchit Lal Dewan, S/o Uttam Das Dewan, Aged about 59 years, Occupation - Vanpal, Baradwar, R/o Village HardiJhariya Tahsil and Disst. Raigarh.</p> <p><u>Versus</u></p> <p><u>Respondents</u> 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Deptt. of Forest, DKS Bhawan, Raipur.</p> <p>2. Chief Conservator of forest Dist. Raipur</p> <p>3. Divisional forest officer, District Junjgir Champa</p> <p>4. Sub Divisional officer SAKTI, District Janjgir-Champa</p> <p><u>S.B. Hon'ble Shri Dhirendra Mishra, J</u></p> <p><u>24.10.2008</u></p> <p>Mrs. Renu Kochar, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Y.S. Thakur, Dy. AG for the State.</p> <p>The instant petition is directed against the order of Annexure P/1 dated 1.10.2008, whereby the petitioner who is working as Parikshetra Sahayak, Baradwar has been transferred as Forester on Special Duty to Sakti Range.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it's a case of frequent transfer as the petitioner has suffered two transfers within a period of four months. The order impugned has been passed just to accommodate one Ramdayal Pandey, who has been posted as Parikshetra Sahayak in place of the petitioner. She further submits</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक UP(S) 5292 सन् 2008

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>that the petitioner has already completed 59 years of service and he is on the verge of retirement, therefore, as per government policy also, the petitioner could not have been transferred.</p> <p>— Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she is not pressing this petition at this stage, however, the petitioner may be granted liberty to file representation against the order of transfer (Annexure P/1) and the respondents may be further directed to decide the same within a specified period. She also submits that the petitioner has not handed over the charge of Parikshetra Sahayak and therefore, his status-quo with respect to his posting at Baradwar should be maintained.</p> <p>On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that if the petitioner files representation against his transfer order of Annexure P/1, the same shall be considered and decided by the Divisional Forest Officer, Janjgir-Champa/respondent No.3 within a period of four weeks and in the meanwhile, the petitioner shall not be relieved from his present place of posting, if he has not already been relieved.</p> <p>In view of above submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation raising all his grievances raised in this petition before the respondent No.2 against his transfer order of Annexure P/1.</p> <p><u>On such representation being made, the same shall be considered and</u></p>	



92

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S) 5782 सन् 2008

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश 3	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
14	<p>decided by the respondent No.3 in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt thereof.</p> <p>It is further directed that the petitioner shall not be relieved from his present place of posting, if he has not already been relieved, till his representation is finally decided.</p>	<p>Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge</p>