WP(C) 1199/2008

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE T.NK SINGH

- 1. Heard Mr. J. Ahmed, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Mr. P. Roy, learned State Counsel appearing for the respondents.
- Neglecting the un-necessary details, a short facts leading to the filing 2. of the present writ petition is required to be noted. It is stated that the Tum ni New Hat was granted on lease to the petitioner with amount of Rs.560.00 per m onth on experimental basis for the period from 1.3.2008 to 30.6.2008 under the o rder/letter of the Executive Officer, South Salmara Anchalik Panchayat, Bhalukma ri dated 25.2.2008, copy of which is available at Annexure-A to the writ petitio n. The petitioner also had paid the said amount for the said lease. It is stated that the Tumni Hat was eroded by the Brahmaputra River. As a result, the petiti oner is running Tumni Hat under South Salamara. It is stated that some sections of the people started running Hat under the name and style of Tumni New Hat on t he public road without any authority of law at a distance of only % K.M. from th e Hat operated by the petitioner. Accordingly, there is a hue and cry from the p ublic against the said illegal running of the Hat by the said section of the peo ple. Accordingly, petitioner and others filed a joint representation in the firs t week of March to the S.D.O. (Civil), Hatsingimari, South Salmara, Mankachar fo r taking necessary action against the said sections of people who are running th e Hat on the public road without any authority of law. A copy of the said joint representation is available at Annexure-2 to the present writ petition.
- 3. The only grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the S.D.O.(Civil), Hatsingimari has not taken up any action on the said joint representation filed by the present petitioner and others. The S.D.O. (Civil), Hatsingimari is duty bound to consider and dispose of the said joint representation filed by the petitioner and others.
- 4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances discussed above, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the S.D.O.(Civil), Hatsingimari to consider and dispose of the said representation according to law by passing the reason o rder. The petitioner is directed to approach the S.D.O. (Civil), Hatsingimari wi th a copy of the said joint representation and the copy of the writ petition along with the certified copy of the judgment and order of this Court for necessary compliance.
- 5. It is made clear that the S.D.O. (Civil) shall complete the whole exerci ses within a period of two (2) months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment and order.
- 6. The writ petition is disposed of.