

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition No. 66 of 2005 (S/B)**

Navin Singh Barphal S/o Late N.S. Barphal Aged about 30 years R/o post C/o M.D. Tosm Meena Bazar Lohaghat, District Champawat	.....	Petitioner
<u>Versus</u>		
Union of India & others	.....	Respondents

Mr. Ashish Joshi, Advocate for petitioner.  
Ms. Anjali Bhargawa, Standing Counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 3.  
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Brief Holder for respondent No. 2 & 5.  
Ms. Beena Pandey, Standing Counsel (U.P. Govt.) for respondent no. 4.

**JUDGMENT**

**Coram: Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.  
Hon'ble P. C. Pant, J.**

**J. C. S. RAWAT, J. (Oral)**

Mr. Ashish Joshi, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Anjali Bhargawa, Standing Counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 3.

Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Brief Holder for respondent No. 2 & 5.

Ms. Beena Pandey, Standing Counsel (U.P. Govt.) for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. In view of the above statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(P. C. Pant, J.) (J.C.S. Rawat, J.)**

Dated 30.11.2007  
LSR