Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Sudhir Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri N.P. Shah, learned Standing Counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 2 and Sri D.S. Patni, learned counsel for respondent no. 3.

By means of this writ petition the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs: -

- 1. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to rectify the grant of pay increment in accordance with G.O. dated 20.2.97 in the light of option exercised by the petitioner 58 years as age of superannuation.
- 2. Issue any other order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.
- 3. Award cost of the petition in favour of the petitioner.

During the course of argument, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that raising all his grievances, the petitioner has representation already moved a dated 05.10.2007, Annexure 5 to the writ petition respondent no. 2, Director, Development Directorate, Dehradun confined his prayer that respondent no. 2 may directed to take decision on representation of petitioner within the а particular period prescribed by this Court.

The prayer is innocuous.

Accordingly, I direct the Director, Urban Development Directorate, Dehradun, respondent no. 2 to take decision on the representation of the petitioner dated 05.10.2007 within a period of one month from the date of production of a certified copy of this order.

With this direction, the writ petition is finally disposed of. All the pending applications stand disposed of. No order as to costs.

(M.M.G., J.)