

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 4736 of 2007**

Date of decision: 30.11.2007

**Collector Land Acquisition, Urban Development, Punjab**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Om Parkash and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL**

Present: Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJESH BINDAL, J.**

The challenge in the present petition is to the order dated November 20, 2006 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ropar whereby application filed by the respondent-landowner for setting aside of exparte award dated April 21, 1999 was accepted.

The case was adjourned twice to enable the counsel to substantiate his submission with the support of the record. Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submitted that on inspection of the record of the Court below, he could not find that any notice was issued to the respondent-landowner in the reference under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, ( for short 'the Act'). Once it is not disputed that no notice was issued to the respondent-landowner in the proceedings under the Act as was also observed by the learned Court below in the impugned order, in my opinion, no illegality has been committed by it while setting aside the exparte order dated April 21, 1999.

Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed.

**November 30, 2007  
Pka**

**(Rajesh Bindal)  
Judge**