

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN**

**FRIDAY, THE 28TH DECEMBER 2007 / 7TH POUSHA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 38296 of 2007(H)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**JAYAKUMAR P S/O.PURUSHOTHAMAN NAIR,  
JAYA NIVAS,PANAVALLY P.O,CHERTHALA,PIN-688 566.**

**BY ADV. SRI.PEARLY JOSE**

**RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

**1. KERALA STATE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,GOVT.WING  
(RECRUITMENT),PATTOM,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**2. DISTRICT P.S.C OFFICER,K.P.S.C OFFICE,  
CIVIL STATION,KOZHIKODE,PIN - 673020.**

**BY ALEXANDER THOMAS -SC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 28/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**S. SIRI JAGAN, J.**

-----  
**W.P.(C)No.38296 OF 2007**  
-----

Dated this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner applied for the post of Clerk/Cashier in District Co-operative Banks of various districts pursuant to notification inviting applications issued by the Kerala Public Service Commission. The last date prescribed for submission of applications was 24.5.2006. According to the petitioner, the petitioner sent the applications on 22.5.2006. However, the petitioner was not issued with hall ticket for appearing in the written examination scheduled to be conducted on 29.12.2007. The petitioner also submits that along with the said application, the petitioner had sent application for another post also, which was received before 24.5.2006. In the above circumstances the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"A writ of mandamus or any other writ order or direction directing respondents to include the petitioner also in the list eligible candidate to writ selection test to the post of Clerk/Cashier to be scheduled on 29/12/07.

2. A writ of mandamus or any other

appropriate writ order or direction directing the petitioner to issue a hall ticket to writ the selection test.

3. A writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to write the examination provisionally."

2. The standing counsel for the PSC on instructions submits that the petitioner's application was received by the PSC only on 25.5.2006 after the last date fixed for submission of application, and therefore his application cannot be considered.

3. I have considered the rival contentions. Admittedly, the last date for submission of applications was 24.5.2006. It was the look out of the petitioner to see that his application reaches the PSC on or before the last date fixed for the same. Since the PSC submits that the application was received only on 25.5.2006, I do not think that the petitioner can be given any relief in this writ petition. If directions are issued to entertain such applications, then there would be no meaning in fixation of last date for submission of applications.

In the above circumstances, I do not find any merit in this writ petition and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

**S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

