#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

#### PRESENT:

# THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN & THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE K.HEMA

### TUESDAY, THE 31ST JULY 2007 / 9TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 23042 OF 2007(R)

-----

#### PETITIONER:

-----

N.SHAJI, PROPRIETOR, CHINDU CABLE, NEENDAKARA ANIZHAM, NADUVATHU CHERRY, CHAVARA SOUTH.

BY ADV. SRI.PRATHEESH.P

#### RESPONDENTS:

-----

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.
- 2. CNW NEWS, REPRESENTED BY IT MANAGING PARTNER RAJKUMAR, OFFICE OF CNW NEWS THANGASSERY, KOLLAM.
- 3. KOLLAM INTERNET COMPANY BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER, RAJKUMAR, KOLLAM INTERNET COMPANY, THANGASSERY, KOLLAM.
- 4. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, CHAVARA.

GOVT. PLEADER SRI. P.A. SALIM.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

# DATED THIS, THE 31ST DAY OF JULY, 2007.

## JUDGMENT

# Raman, J.

This is a writ petition seeking police protection for the life and property of the petitioner. It is alleged that a rival Cable T.V. operators are preventing his workers from doing their work. Though a complaint was preferred to the police as per Ext.P5, they did not take any action on the same.

2. The learned Government Pleader, on instruction, submits that the petitioner and respondents 2 and 3 were called by the police to the police station and asked them to abide by the orders passed by the Munsiff Court. According to him, in the meeting held in the presence of the District Collector dated 27.9.2006 some decisions had been taken prescribing the guidelines for the rival Cable TV operators to work in the respective fields. As such, we do not think that there is any threat at present as alleged in the writ petition.

In the circumstances, we close this matter. In case there is any threat in future, it is open to the petitioner to seek redressal of his grievance at that time.

P.R. RAMAN, (JUDGE)

K. HEMA, (JUDGE)