# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT:

# THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR FRIDAY, THE 28TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 6TH ASWINA 1929

OP.No. 31118 of 1999(D)

-----

PETITIONER: -

P.ABOO, L.D. CLERK, KOTTATHARA GRAMA PANCHAYAT, KOTTATHARA, WAYANAD.

BY ADV. SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN

### **RESPONDENTS: -**

\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REP: BY THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL ADMINISTRATION, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PANCHAYAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PANCHAYAT, WAYANAD.
- 4. THE DISTRICT PANCHAYAT OFFICER, WAYANAD.
- 5. M.M.NARENDRAN, U.D. CLERK, POZHUTHANA GRAMA PANCHAYAT, WAYANAD.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.P.N.SANTHOSH.

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 28/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

#### **APPENDIX**

# ORDER ON CMP.NO.53001 OF 1999 IN OP.NO.31118 OF 1999-D.

28.9.2007. Sd/- T.R.Ramachandran Nair, Judge.

# Petitioner' Exhibits:-

Ext.P1: Copy of the Order of the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent dtd.6.5.1998.

Ext.P2: Copy of the certificate issued by the PSC No.12219/95

dtd.30.9.1995.

Ext.P2(A): Copy of the certificate issued by the PSC dtd.20.2.1993.

Ext.P2(B): Copy of the certificate issued by the PSC dtd.26.7.1996.

Ext.P3: Copy of the relevant pages of the service book of the

petitioner.

Ext.P4: Copy of the representation made by the petitioner to the

2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dtd.3.6.1998..

Ext.P5: Copy of the letter of Secretary Kottathara Grama

Panchayat dtd.4.6.1998.

Ext.P6: Copy of the order of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dtd.10.8.1998.

Ext.P7: Copy of the judgment in OP.No.17611/1998 of this Hon'ble

Court dtd.12.8.1999.

Ext.P8: Copy of the order of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dtd.15.9.1999.

Respondents' Exhibits:- Nil.

(true copy)

P.A. TO JUDGE.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

==========

Dated this the 28th day of September, 2007.

**JUDGMENT** 

The main prayer in the writ petition is for a direction to the

respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Upper Division Clerk.

The petitioner was working as a Lower Division Clerk and the case of the

petitioner is that he has passed all the departmental tests for promotion to the

post of U.D. Clerk. But he had not completed the period of probation in the

post of L.D. Clerk. While so, some others are promoted as U.D. Clerk and the

only impediment of the petitioner is want of completion of probation in the

post of L.D. Clerk.

2. There was no interim order in this case to consider the petitioner

for promotion at that time. Now eight years have already elapsed and the

petitioner might have obtained promotion in the meanwhile. If there is any

subsisting grievance the petitioner can approach the competent authority with

appropriate representation.

With the above observation, this Original Petition is closed.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Kvs/-