

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 4360/2005**

**PETITIONER**

Smt. Manjulata Shukla,  
W/o. Shri Rajesh Shukla,  
Aged about 29 years, Occupation –  
Service, Presently working as  
Shiksha Karmi Grade-III, Govt.  
Primary School, Joratal, Janpad  
Panchayat Kawardha (Kabirdham),  
District Kawardha (C.G.).

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh,  
Through its Secretary,  
Department of Panchayat & Rural  
Development, Mantralaya, D.K.S.  
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Executive Officer,  
Janpad Panchayat Lormi,  
District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Chief Executive Officer,  
Janpad Panchayat Kawardha  
(Kabirdham), Distt. Kawardha (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4499/05  
Presented by Shri. Anurag Das  
dated 29/05/05

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE  
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION AND  
FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS,  
DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.**

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.4360 OF 2005

Petitioner : Smt. Manjulata Shukla

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.  
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the  
State/respondent No.1.  
None appears for respondents No.2 and 3.

ORDER

(Passed on 28<sup>th</sup> Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III in Government Primary School, Dongariya, under the Janpad Panchayat, Lormi was transferred to Janpad Panchayat, Kawardha, vide order dated 21-07-2005 (P-2). The petitioner joined the new place of posting at Kawardha, but, thereafter, vide impugned order dated 23-08-2005 (P-6), the transfer of the petitioner from Lormi to Kawardha was cancelled. The petitioner impugns the order dated 23-08-2005 (P-6).

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 30-09-2005, directed that the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 23-08-2005 (P-6) shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondent No.1 has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-  
Satish K. Agnihotri  
Judge