

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH) WRIT PETITION NO. 5949 OF 2005

PETITIONER:

Alok Zachariah Son of Late Shri K. G, Zachariah, aged about 46 years, Posted as Assistant Grade-III, in the office of District Malaria Office, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh, through: the Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

 The District Malaria Officer, Composite Building, Room No. 22, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

3. Dr. S. K. Tiwari, Son of not known, aged about 57 years, District Malaria Officer, Composite Building, Room No. 22, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

RESEQUOENT NO. 4

() 12 Margarentes

Whe brief of the server

Partitions

On the server

Partitions

Assistant Grade-III

Posted in the Office of

Civil Surgeon Cum-Hospital

Superintendent Baikunthpur,

District Koriya (C.G.).



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

<u>SINGLE BENCH: HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.</u>

WRIT PETITION NO.5949 OF 2005

Petitioner

Alok Zachariah

Versus

<u>Respondents</u>

State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present:

Mr. Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the

State/respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sameer Behar, counsel for respondent No.3.

None appears for respondent No.4.

ORDER

(Passed on 28th Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Assistant Grade-III in the office of District Malaria Officer, Bilaspur has been transferred to the office of Civil Surgeon-cum-Hospital Superintendent, Balkunthpur, vide order dated 14-11-2005 (P-1). The petitioner impugns the order dated 14-11-2005 (P-1).

- 2. This Court, vide interim order dated 03-12-2005, granted stay of the impugned order dated 14-11-2005 (P-1) till the next date of hearing.
- 3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 and 2 has no objection.
- 4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-Satish K. Agnihotri Judge