

1)



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 2615 of 2007

Petitioner Plaintiff Smt. Kusum Bai Gupta, aged about 55 years, wife of Shri Jagdish Prasad Gupta, Occupation Business, resident of Kududand, Nagar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, CG.

Versus

Respondents Defendants

- 1) Smt. Dukala Bai, aged about 80 years, wife of Late Shyamlal Gupta, resident of Village Pipartarai, Tahsil Kota, Dist. Bilaspur, CG.
- Smt. Ambika Bai, aged about 60 years, wife of Durga Prasad Gupta, Housewife, resident of Kila Ward, Juna Bilaspur, Nagar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, CG.
- 3) Vinod Kumar Gupta, aged about 38 years, son of Late Laxmi Prasad Gupta, Occupation Businessman.
- Rajesh Kumar Gupta, aged about 36 years, son of Late Laxmi Prasad Gupta, occupation Businessman,

Both respondents no. 3 and 4 residents of Mungeli Road, Kudadand Nagar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, CG.

- 5) Shatrughan Prasad Gupta, aged about 48 years, son of Late Shyamlal Gupta, Occupation Businessman, resident of Krishna Nagar Ward, Juna Bilaspur, Nagar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, CG.
- 6) Smt. Nirmala Bai, aged about 46 years, wife of Krishna Kumar Gupta, resident of



Krishna Nagar Ward, Juna Bilaspur, Nagar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, CG.

- 7) Jagdish Prasad Gupta, aged about 57 years, son of Rambharos Gupta, Caste Hindu, resident of through Jagdish Kirana Store, Main Road, Kududand, Nagar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, CG.
- 8) State of Chhattisgarh, Through Collector, Bilaspur, CG.

(Writ Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present:

Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Pankaj Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.
Ku. Dipali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.8/State.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 30th April, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with liberty to take recourse to alternative remedy that may be available to him, to which learned counsel appearing for respondent No.8/State has no objection.

2. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

Raju