



30

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. No. 491 of 2002

- |             |        |                                                                                                                             |
|-------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Petitioners | 1.     | Basant Kumar Deshlahre, S/o M.L.Deshlahre, Aged about 38 years, R/o 124-G, Risali Sector, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)      |
|             | 2.     | B.R.Darsena, S/o. Late Bodhan Darsena, Aged about 45 years, R/o. Ram Nagar, Mukti Dham, Supela, Bhilai District Durg (C.G.) |
|             | 3.     | H.L.Thakur, S/o Late Guru Dayal Thakur, Aged about 36 years, R/o Qr.No. 4-D, St.-12, Sector-5, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.) |
|             | Versus |                                                                                                                             |
| Respondents | 1.     | State of Chattisgarh, Through-The Secretary, Co-operative Societies, Secretriare, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)      |
|             | 2.     | The Sub - Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Durg, District Durg (C.G.)                                                     |
|             | 3.     | The President, Chhattisgarh Sahkari Sakh Samiti Maryadit, Sector-1, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)                            |

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Shri M. P. S. Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

Shri Praveen Das, Advocate for the respondent No.3.

ORDER

(Passed on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner prays for withdrawal of this petition as having become infructuous, with liberty to challenge, as and when cause of action arises, in future.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.
3. Consequently, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above-stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Thakur

Sd/-  
Satish K. Agnihotri  
Judge