



29

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**M.A.No.1095/2007**

**Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh, J.**

**Appellant**  
**Claimant**

Lalit Kumar Patel S/o Siya Ram Patel, aged about 22 years, Occupation – Teacher, R/o – Village – Dhaba, Police Station – Basna, Tehsil – Basna, District – Mahasamund (CG)

**Versus**

**Respondents**  
**Non-applicants**

1. Ghasiram Chouhan, S/o Joidha Chouhan, aged about 33 years, Occupation-Driver, R/o Mahal Para, Saraipali, Tehsil – Saraipali, District-Mahasamund (CG)  
[Driver of vehicle Mini Bus bearing registration No.C.G.06/A-8286]
2. Jashwant Singh Saluja S/o Inder Singh Saluja, Occupation-Registered owner of Vehicle Mini Bus C.G.06/A-8286, R/o Village Basna, Tehsil-Basna, District-Mahasamund (CG)
3. The New India Insurance Company Limied, through Divisional Office, Divisional Manager, Madina Building, Jail Road, Kutchery, Raipur, District-Raipur (CG)  
[Insurer of vehicle Mini bus bearing registration No.C.G.06/A-8286]
4. Bajeer Singh Choudhari S/o Mohan Singh Choudhari, aged about 28 years, Occupation-Driver, through M.S. Transport Corporation of India, 17/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad, Hariyana,  
[Driver of vehicle Trailer Container bearing registration No.H.R.51/GA-1437]
5. M.S. Transport Corporation of Inida, Tatibandh, Raipur, District-Raipur (CG), Other Address-M.S. Transport

80

6. The Oriental Insurance Company Limited, through Divisional Manager, Divisional Office, Madina Building, Kutchery Chowk, Jail Road, Raipur, District-Raipur (CG) [Insurer owner of vehicle Trailer Container bearing registration No.H.R.51/GA-1437]

**Memorandum of appeal under Section 173 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.**

**Present:-**

**Shri Shivendu Pandya, counsel for the appellant.**

**ORAL ORDER**

(Passed on 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2007)

Heard on I.A. No. 1 for condonation of delay in filing this appeal.

(2) For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 3 days in filing this appeal, is condoned. Application is allowed.

(3) Heard on admission.

(4) The appellant is aggrieved by the award dated 30.10.2006 passed by the Motor Accidents Claim Tribunal, Mahasamund whereby in an injury case compensation of Rs.7,000/- was awarded by the M.A.C.T.

(5) Learned counsel for the appellant did not dispute that the appellant/claimant did not suffer any grievous injury. He further submitted that the medical evidence was not adduced by the claimant and that the injuries sustained by the claimant were simple injuries. No ground for enhancement of compensation awarded by M.A.C.T. was pointed out.

(6) In that view of the matter, the appeal for enhancement of compensation, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed at the stage of admission.

Sd/-  
Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh  
Judge

Prayer