# HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

## W.P.(S) No.4342/2007

**PETITIONER**

Awadh Kishor Sharma,
Aged about 58 years,
S/o Late Shri Ramcharan Lal
Sharma,
working as Agricultural Development
Officer, Rajnandgaon, District
Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

### Versus

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
   Through the Secretary,
   Department of Agriculture,
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
   Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. Collector,
   Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

3. Deputy Director Agriculture
   Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

## WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

---

### Appearance:

Shri Jitendra Pali and Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the Petitioner.

Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy

## ORAL ORDER
### (31.07.2007)

### SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard.

The petitioner, who is working on the post of Agriculture Development Officer, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.) has challenged his order of transfer dated 29.6.2007, by which, he has been transferred from Rajnandgaon to Dantewada on administrative grounds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the transfer of the petitioner has been affected violating the transfer policy dated 13th June 2007 (Annexure P-2). They submit that according to clause 2 (1) of the policy, the transfers are to be effected on the

recommendations of the Minister concerned, but in case of the petitioner, no such recommendation has been taken. They also submit that the order has been passed in violation of clause 5 (e) of the said policy, because certain formalities have not been completed. Their over all submission is that the transfer order passed in violation of the transfer policy should be set aside.

In the matter of *Union of India- Vs- S.L. Abbas, AIR 1993 SC 2444*, it has been held by the Apex Court that the guidelines issued by the Government about the transfer of Government employee do not confer upon the Government employee a legally enforceable right. It has also been held vide para 7 of the aforesaid judgment that who should be transferred where, is a matter for the appropriate authority to decide. Unless the order of transfer is vitiated by malafides or is made in violation of any statutory provisions, the Court cannot interfere with it. However, it has been further held that while ordering the transfer, there is no doubt, the authority must keep in mind the guidelines issued by the Government on the subject.

Further in the matter of *State of U.P. and others vs. Gobardhan Lal, 2004 AIR SCW 2082*, it has been observed by the Apex Court that even administrative guidelines for regulating transfers or containing transfer policies at best may afford an opportunity to the officer or servant concerned to approach their higher authorities for redress but cannot have the consequence of depriving or denying the competent authority to transfer a particular officer/servant to any place in public interest and as is found necessitated by exigencies of service as long as the official status is not affected adversely and there is no infraction of any career prospects such as seniority, scale of pay and secured emoluments. The Apex Court has further observed that the order of transfer made even in transgression of administrative guidelines cannot also be interfered with, as they do not confer any legally enforceable rights, unless, as noticed supra, shown to be vitiated by mala fides or is made in violation of any statutory provision.

W.P.(S) No.4342/2007

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, having gone through the contents of the petition and having perused the contents of the policy, I do not find either any ground of malafide or a ground of extraneous consideration or a ground of violation of the statutory rule or Act in the matter of transfer of the petitioner warranting interference by this Court.

This petition filed on the ground of violation of the policy only cannot be entertained. The same is liable to be dismissed and is dismissed at the motion stage itself.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyna