

WP(C) 4377/2006  
BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE A. HAZARIKA

Heard Mr. R. Goswami, learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. HM Phukan, learned Junior Govt. Advocate, Assam, appearing for the respondents.

It is submitted at the Bar on behalf of the parties that similar writ petitions have been disposed of by this Court in WP(C) No.6608/04 vide order dated 5.1.06 and the order passed by this Court in that writ petition squarely covers the cases of the petitioners in the instant case. Accordingly, taking into consideration the submissions made by the parties, this writ petition is disposed of on the same line of the judgment and order dated 5.1.06 passed by this Court in the aforesaid writ petition. The relevant portion of the same is quoted herein below:

In view of the above, this Court is inclined to hold that the impugned order dated 30.6.04 is not legally tenable. The said order is accordingly set aside and the respondents are directed to depute the petitioners for training as and when next batch of trainees are so deputed.

Consequently, the writ petition is allowed as indicated above.

In view of the above position, the respondents are directed to depute the petitioners for training as and when the next batch of trainees are so deputed inasmuch as, there is no dispute that the petitioners are regularly selected candidates.

The petitioners shall furnish a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the order dated 5.1.06 passed in WP(C) No.6608/04 before the appropriate authority for taking necessary action.

This writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

No costs.