WP(C) 6503/2007 BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

The petitioner assails an order of suspension dated 27.8.2007. According to the petitioner, he had filed an appeal against the aforesaid suspension order which, however, has not been disposed of. Contending that the charges against the petitioner are groundless and that a departmental proceeding on such charges is destined to be abortive and futile, this writ petition has been filed seeking interference of the Court.

On due consideration of the matter and taking into account the charges l evelled and the written statement filed by the petitioner in the disciplinary pr oceeding, the Court is of the view that instead of causing any interference with the order of suspension, at this stage, the just and appropriate order would be to direct the disciplinary authority of the petitioner to complete the departmental proceeding against the petitioner at an early date. In this regard, the Court is of the view that the departmental proceeding against the petitioner should stand concluded by passing of final orders within an outer limit of forty five days from today.

It is submitted by Mr PK Goswami, learned senior counsel for the petitio ner that the report of the Forensic Science Laboratory, a copy of which has been annexed as enclosure-A to Annexure-C has not been furnished to the petitioner.

The respondents will forthwith furnish the said document to the petition er.

This will dispose of the writ petition.