

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

ORDER SHEET

Writ Petition (C)	No. 39	of 200 ⁷
Shri Y. B. Gurung	Petitioner /	Appellan

Versus

Union of India & Anr. Respondent

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
1.	20-12-2007	Present: Mr. A.K. Upadhyaya, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ashim Chhetri, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Karma Thinlay, Central Govt. Standing Counsel.	
		This is a writ petition at the instance of a	,
		single army officer whose retirement age is at	í
		present according to Rules fixed at 52. He is due	4.
		to retire very soon i.e. with the expiry of	. 1-
		December, 2007. The writ petition is being moved	
		at the last moment and allegations are made	
	•	about discrimination and that the retirement age	
		should be compulsorily raised by the writ Court to	
		54. Naturally along with it the stay order is also	
		prayed for. Mr. Upadhyaya submitted that there	
		should be stay of retirement until the disposal of	
		the writ, or the retirement should be subject to the	
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			r.



· .	
Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
result of the writ. It is also submitted that the	
Ministry is actively considering the raising of the	
age of the group to which the writ petitioner	
belongs.	
Very clearly the writ Court should not	
interfere in these service matters which are pure	
policy decisions. Above all, it would be most	
unwise to passorders affecting a whole class, and	
that too within the army, only at the instance of a	
single officer who is due to retire practically the	
next day.	
The writ petition is dismissed without any	
order as to costs. Since affidavits are not invited,	•
the allegations cannot be taken to be admitted to	
any extent.	
(A.N. Ray, CJ)	
(A.P. Subba, J)	
	result of the writ. It is also submitted that the Ministry is actively considering the raising of the age of the group to which the writ petitioner belongs. Very clearly the writ Court should not interfere in these service matters which are pure policy decisions. Above all, it would be most unwise to passorders affecting a whole class, and that too within the army, only at the instance of a single officer who is due to retire practically the next day. The writ petition is dismissed without any order as to costs. Since affidavits are not invited, the allegations cannot be taken to be admitted to any extent. **May** (A.N. Ray, CJ)**