	Orders
	* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
	+ RC.S.A. 26/2001
	SUGRIVA DUBEY Petitioner Through Petitioner in person.
	versus
	RAM BHAROSEY GUPTA Respondent Through Mr. R.B. Gupta, Adv.
	CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.S. SODHI
<b>&gt;</b>	ORDER 21.02.2006
	RC.S.A. 26/2001 is directed against order da
	5.12.2000 passed by the Additional Rent Control Tribunal
	R.C.A. No. 49/2000 dismissing the appeal of the appellant on
	ground that the appellant has not impleaded Shri Subh
	Chander, the alleged tenant, as a party.
	It is agreed by counsel before me that the appeal
5,	remanded to be tried in accordance with law and that
	appellant herein be permitted to amend the cause title a
4	
	implead Shri Subhash Chander as party to the appeal.
	[RC.S.A. 26/2001] [page 1 of 2]

Sr. No.	Mate	Orders
		In view of what has been stated before me by
		counsel for the parties, I set aside the order under challenge.
		The appellant to implead Shri Subhash Chander as party to the
		appeal filed by him before the Additional Rent Control Tribunal
		and the Tribunal is directed to dispose of the same on merits.
		RC.S.A. 26/2001 is disposed of.
		Parties to appear before the Tribunal on 13 <sup>th</sup> March,
	~	2006. Trial court record, if received, be sent back forthwith.
	•	R.S. SODHI, J
		FEBRUARY 21, 2006 Jt.
		[RC.S.A. 26/2001] [page 2 of 2]
	\$	