

W.P. No. 47 (M/S) of 2006

Hon'ble P.C. Pant, J.

Heard.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the impugned orders dated 30.12.2005 and 10.01.2005 passed by respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in the consolidation proceedings.

From the perusal of the orders passed by the Consolidation authorities, it appears that Khata No. 50 was left out as grove land in the consolidation proceedings. However, in the appeal before the settlement Officer of the Consolidation, when the inspection was made at the spot, it was found that there was not even single tree in aforesaid Khata, and sugarcane crop found standing in the said plot. On the basis of this, appeal was allowed and Khata No. 50 was brought under the purview of the consolidation proceedings.

From the record, it is also clear that the petitioner filed revision before Deputy Director of Consolidation who also agreed that there is no illegality in the order passed by the Settlement Officer. He dismissed the revision also on the ground that the said revision is barred by the time.

In the above circumstances, the impugned orders do not suffer from any illegality whatsoever.

The writ petition is dismissed in limine accordingly.

(P.C. Pant, J.)

Vacation Judge

25.01.2006

Shiv