## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 5877 of 2006

Date of Decision: 23.12.2006

Smt. Amarjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR,

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND.

Present: Mr. R.C. Chatrath, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab

for the respondents.

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that the authorities have not taken into consideration the ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner immediately preceding her regular service as qualifying service for determining her claim for pension on attaining the age of superannuation.

Learned counsel for the respondents acknowledges, that ad hoc service immediately preceding regular service rendered by the petitioner has to be taken into consideration as qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits.

Additionally, learned counsel for the respondents states that the claim of the petitioner has been reconsidered by taking into consideration ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner immediately preceding her regularization in service as qualifying service and the revised pensionary claim of the petitioner has been considered and on the basis thereof, the petitioner had already been released her pension at enhanced rate under the

statutory rules prevalent.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

( J.S. Khehar ) Judge

December 23, 2006 vkd

(S.D. Anand) Judge