## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 4725 of 2006

Date of Decision: 23.12.2006

Karnail Singh Sohi ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR,

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND.

Present: Mr. R.C. Chatrath, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab

for the respondents.

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that the authorities have not taken into consideration the ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner immediately preceding his regular service as qualifying service for determining his claim for pension on attaining the age of superannuation.

Learned counsel for the respondents acknowledges, that ad hoc service immediately preceding regular service rendered by the petitioner has to be taken into consideration as qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondents to re-determine the pension payable to the petitioner by taking into consideration the ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner immediately before his regularization into service as qualifying service under the statutory rules prevalent.

Needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of

the certified copy of the order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to any monetary benefits, the same shall be calculated and released to the petitioner within a further period of one month.

Disposed of accordingly.

( J.S. Khehar ) Judge

December 23, 2006 vkd

(S.D. Anand) Judge