

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

W.P.No.3713 of 2006

DATED:28.02.2006

BETWEEN:

S.V. Saheb ..Petitioners

And

The A.P.S.R.T.C., rep. by the Chairman & M.D., Mushirabad, Hyderabad. and others

..Respondents

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the Corporation.

The petitioner, who is working as conductor in the A.P. State Road Transport Corporation, attached to Pulivendula depot, has filed this writ petition questioning the order, dated 30.03.2002, passed in the disciplinary proceedings by the primary authority i.e., 3rd respondent-Depot Manager, as confirmed by the 2nd respondent-Appellate Authority, *vide* order, dated 28.06.2002. The punishment imposed is to treat the period of suspension not on duty for the purpose of leave, wages and increments.

As per the regulations of the Corporation, there is further remedy of review before the Regional Manager. Though several contentions are raised in the writ petition, but some of them can be better appreciated by the reviewing authority in case the revision is filed. Further, there is also no special reason for the petitioner to bypass the further remedy provided before the reviewing authority.

For the foregoing reasons, I dispose of the writ petition permitting the petitioner to file review petition before the Regional Manager, Kadapa, within four weeks from today. If such review petition is filed within the time stipulated above, the reviewing authority shall entertain and dispose of the same on its own merits, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of its filing. No order as to costs.

R. SUBHASH REDDY,J

Dated:28.02.2006

GJ