

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA**

**Writ Petition No. 24846 of 2005**

**Dated: 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2006**

**Between:**

B.Kanakaiah.

**.....PETITIONER**

**AND**

The Regional Manager,

APSRTC, Nalgonda Region,

At Nalgonda, Nalgonda District, A.P

& another.

**....RESPONDENTS**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE**

**N.V.RAMANA**

**W.P.No.24846 of 2005**

**ORDER:**

The petitioner who is a casual Driver in the respondents-Corporation has filed this writ petition to declare the action of the respondents in not engaging him as Driver, as bad, arbitrary, illegal, and consequently to direct the respondents to

regularize the services of the petitioner as Driver by engaging him immediately.

Petitioner was appointed as a casual Driver in A.P.S.R.T.C, Nalgonda Region in 2000, and since then he has worked at various Depots and the last being at Mehedipatnam Bus depot. On instructions of both the respondents herein he worked in both the regions. While working at Mehedipatnam bus depot he was transferred to Guntur Region by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent by order dated 30-4-2004. He could not report the Regional Manager, Guntur within time, but had reported him with a delay of ten days, when he was instructed to go back to the Hyderabad City Region for getting further orders. Petitioner approached the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent for further posting orders, who directed the petitioner to approach 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, for further orders. Since then, the petitioner is approaching both the respondents, but in vain.

This Court, while ordering notice before admission on 22-11-2005, passed the following interim direction:

*“Pending further orders, it is directed that if the name of the petitioner is on the rolls of the corporation and if any employees who are Junior to the petitioner in their respective cadres are engaged, the petitioner shall also be engaged on usual terms.”*

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel for A.P.S.R.T.C and the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that by virtue of the interim of this Court dated

22-11-2005, the petitioner was engaged as Driver, and prayed that the writ petition be closed by making the interim order as final order.

Submission of the learned counsel is placed on record, and the writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the interim order dated 22.11.2005. No costs.

---

**(N.V. RAMANA, J.)**

**Date:31st March, 2006.**

GRK

