

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CR No.177 of 2006

Decided on : November 29, 2006

Smt. Darshani Devi and another ...Petitioner.

VERSUS

Jan Mohammad and others ...Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surjit Singh, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate.

Surjit Singh, Judge (Oral)

Heard and gone through the record.

2. The Rent Controller and the Appellate Authority have returned a concurrent finding that the deceased landlord alongwith his family members, numbering 10, had been in occupation of two rooms accommodation in a Wakf Board building, as a tenant. The landlord categorically stated that the accommodation was not enough for himself and his family members and that one room, out of the two rooms accommodation, occupied by him was damp. Looking to this concurrent finding, which is based on proper appreciation of evidence, I do not think that the order of eviction calls for any interference. Therefore, the Revision Petition is dismissed.

Caveat No.655/2006 & CMP No.688/2006

Infructuous.

November 29, 2006^(sd)

(Surjit Singh), J.

Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?