CWP No. 771 of 2006.

28.9.2006 Present: Pt. Om Parkash, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Right course for the writ petitioner was to have approached the civil Court because he claims that he is in possession of certain landed property, which the private respondents deny and for determining this question full-fledged trial is required. Hence the petition is dismissed.

CMP No. 1230 of 2006.

Infructuous.

(V.K. Gupta), Chief Justice.

September 28, 2006. (rc)

(Surjit Singh), Judge.