

.UP 10 2; Draft, newuniplex; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....R  
.SP2  
.HM2  
.FM2  
.PL66

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 476 OF 2006

MANISH DHIRAJLAL MUNI                      ..APPELLANT  
V/S  
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF  
GR. MUMBAI & ORS.                      ..RESPONDENTS

V.A. THORAT, SR. COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT.  
R.A. MALANDKAR FOR RESP. NO. 4.  
A.A. JOSHI FOR RESP. NO. 5.

CORAM : D.G. KARNIK, J.  
DATE : 31ST AUGUST, 2006.

P.C.

1. This appeal is directed against the ad interim order dated 6th May, 2006 passed by the learned Judge, City Civil Court, Mumbai.

2. As there is no adjudication, it would be proper to direct expeditious hearing of the matter and till then direct the parties to maintain status quo. This court by order dated July 19, 2006 has also directed the parties to maintain status quo.

3. In the circumstances I dispose of this Appeal with the following order :

#### ORDER

Trial court is requested to hear the Notice of Motion No. 1871 of 2006 as expeditiously as possible and within a period of two months of the receipt of this order. The parties shall cooperate with the court by filing the pleadings and documents within a period of two weeks. In the meanwhile the parties shall maintain status quo.

The Appeal is disposed of.

----

(D.G. KARNIK, J.)