IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 1699 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.S.GARG

- $1\ \mbox{Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?}$
- 2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
- $3\ ^{\text{Whether their Lordships}}$ wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question 4 of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?
- $\mathbf{5}$ Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge $\mathbf{7}$

VASAVA MANSUKH NABALIYA & 31 - Petitioner(s) Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & 2 - Respondent(s)

Appearance:

MR JOY MATHEW for Petitioner(s) : 1-32. MR LR PUJARI, AGP for Respondent(s) : 1-3.

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.S.GARG

Date: 30/11/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

- 1. Mr. Joy Mathew, learned counsel for the petitioners. Mr. L.R. Pujari, learned AGP for the State.
- 2. The parties agree that the present petition can

be disposed of in similar terms in which Special Civil Application No. 5609 of 1993 was disposed of. The petition is disposed of with the following directions.

- If within a period of four weeks from [i] the petitioners make today, an appropriate representation to the appropriate authority under the Government Resolution dated 5th1992, then, October, the respondent-Authority/Competent Authority shall consider the representations in accordance with the Resolution and take a decision and pass speaking order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the persons making the representations.
- [ii] It is made clear that if anybody fails to make the representation within the period of four weeks, then, his right to make representation shall stand closed. The respondents are required to dispose of representations within a period of three months of submission from the date of the representations.
- 3. The petition is disposed of. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent only. No costs.

[R.S. GARG, J.]