

1

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3065 /2006

SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 3112/06
Presented by AK Dubey
dated 28/6/06


N.K.Sharma, S/o. Shri
G.R.Sharma, aged about 48
years, R/o. Raipur, 836, Sundar
Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Forest,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Principal Chief
Conservator of Forest,
Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Conservator of Forest,
Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Divisional Forest Officer,
Raipur East, Raipur (C.G.).
5. A.S.Agrawal, S/o. not known
aged about not known Forest
Range Officer Lamni, Bilaspur
Van Mandal, Distt. Bilaspur
(C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>29-6-2006:-</p> <p>Shri Anand Kumar Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 4 on advance copy.</p> <p>Heard both the counsel.</p> <p>The main grievance raised by the petitioner by this petition is that vide impugned order dated 24-6-2006 the petitioner has been posted in the Work Plan Forest Area Surguja on special duty contrary to the Government Policy Annexure-P/3 dated 18-7-2005, clause-1 of which envisages that the Deputy Forest Rangers and the Forest Range Officers who have completed 5 years of service and who have not completed 50 years of age shall be posted in the Work Plan Scheme according to their seniority. The petitioner has already completed 3 years in the Work Plan Scheme even then he has been posted again in the Work Plan Scheme, that too leaving the officers who are seniors to him.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already made a representation raising his grievances to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide representation to which learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 has no objection.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, having perused seniority list and considering the fact that the petitioner has already worked in the Work Plan Scheme w.e.f. 1986 to 1989, prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, respondent No.1 is directed to consider representation made by the petitioner in accordance with the Government Policy dated 18-7-2005 and decide the same in an objective manner, on its own merit, within a period of one month from</p>	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

46

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	<p>today, in accordance with law. Till then, the petitioner's transfer order shall remain stayed, if he has already not relieved.</p> <p>The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2341/2006 stands disposed of.</p> <p>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.</p>	<p>Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge</p>

1.8.06
1.8.06