

CF 1000

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR


Writ Petition No. 3278/2003

PETITIONER

: Dr (Smt.) Vibha Dadheech, W/o Dr. Puru Dadheech, aged about 51 years, Working as Reader (Katthak) - Removed, R/o Abhinav Bhawan, 59/2, Civil Lines, Khairagarh, Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

- Versus -

RESPONDENT

:  Indira Kala Sangeet Vishvidyalaya, Khairagarh, Through its Registrar, Khairagarh, Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO MOVE REPRESENTATION.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.3278 OF 2003

Petitioner : Dr. (Smt.) Vibha
Dadheech

versus

Respondent : Indira Kala Sangeet
Vishvidyalaya,
Khairagarh

Present : Mr. Prashant Jaiswal, Senior Advocate with Mr. Atul
Kumar, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Manindra Shrivastava, Senior Advocate with Mr.
Amit Verma, counsel for the respondent.
Mr. Ashok Vaishnav, counsel for the intervener.

ORDER

(Passed on 31st of August, 2006)

Pursuant to advertisement No.(No.RD/98/)

Notification:1/98 (Annexure P-1) issued by the respondent – Indira Kala Sangeet Vishwavidyalaya, Khairagarh, the petitioner applied for appointment on the post of Professor and Reader. The petitioner was appointed on the post of Reader in Kathak Dance by order dated 22-3-2000 (Annexure P-4). The executive committee in its meeting dated 25-9-2003 found that the information submitted by

the petitioner was not correct. Accordingly, her appointment on the post of Reader was cancelled by order dated 26-9-2003 (Annexure P-15). Last para of the order provides for appeal before tribunal to be constituted under Section 44(2) of the Indira Kala Sangit Vishwavidyalaya Act, 1956 (henceforth "the Act"). The tribunal, I am informed, consists of experts in the field, even otherwise, this is a statutory provision under the provisions of the Act.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be permitted to approach the respondent – University for constitution of a tribunal under Section 44(2) of the Act to hear appeal of the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent – University has no objection.

3. This petition is accordingly disposed of with the above-stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge