

CF. 1002

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W. P.(S). NO. ⁴⁶⁸⁴ /2006PETITIONER

: Tej Kumar Pandey S/o R. N. Pandey ✓
Age about 46 years, R/o Brahminpara
Naya Para Rajim, Tehsil - Abhanpur,
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4721/06
Presented by Shri. S.K. Verma
dated 28/8/06

VERSUSRESPONDENT

- : 1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh ✓
Through Secretary
Educational Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
2. Distric Education Officer ✓
Kawardha, Distt.-Kabirdham (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer Kawardha ✓
Kawardha, Distt.-Kabirdham (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
26/08/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4684 / सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>31.8.2006</p> <p>Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The case of the petitioner is that he is working as Assistant Teacher and as per the Instruction dated 24-12-98 issued by the State of M.P., the Assistant Teachers who have passed B.T.I., B.Ed. and M.Ed. examination on their own expenses after 22-10-64 are entitled for two advance increments. The petitioner passed the B.Ed. examination on his own cost in 1991-92 and copy of the mark sheet to that effect is Annexure P-3, but the petitioner has not been given the benefit, whereas, similarly situated persons have been granted two advance increments under the orders of the Tribunal and this Court.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition and its annexures raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to examine the case of the petitioner in the light of the averments made by the petitioner in this writ petition and in the representation also. If respondent No.2 reaches to the conclusion that similarly situated persons have been granted benefit of two advance increments and the petitioner's case is also covered for grant of two advance increments on the basis of Government's</p>	

P.T.O.

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. 4684/ सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	<p>order, then respondent No.2 shall pass order on the representation of the petitioner within a period of 3 months from the date of its receipt, in accordance with law.</p> <p>With the directions aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.</p>	<p>Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge</p>

P.T.O.

59-06
59-06
59-06