

SINGLE BENCH

3

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR**

**CHHATTISGARH**

**WRIT PETITION No. 3164/2006**

**PETITIONER**

✓ Bhagwati Devi Dadhichi W/o- Late  
Ram Gopal Dadhichi, Aged about  
45 years R/o- Chakardharnagar  
,Bangalapara, Raigarh District  
Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3225/06  
Presented by Shri. Anand Sharma  
dated 29/6/06

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

- ✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh  
Through - The Secretary Health  
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur  
(C.G.)
- ✓ 2. The Chief Medical and Health  
Officer, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh  
(C.G.)
- ✓ 3. The Chief Medical and Health  
Officer Jashpur District Jashpur  
(C.G.)
- ✓ 4. The Director, Medical and Health  
Department, Raipur (C.G.)

RE-100-COPY

CLEARANCE BY BILASPUR  
28/6/06



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF**

**THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक 100 P.N.O. 31.6.106 सन् 200

## आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b>30-6-2006:-</b></p> <p>Heard Shri Amit Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for respondents, on advance copy.</p> <p>The main grievance raised by the petitioner by way of this writ petition is that the petitioner is the widow of late Shri Ram Gopal Dadhichi who was working as Assistant Accountant in the Primary Health Centre, Manora, District Raigarh, in spite of the order Annexure-P/2 to the effect that the petitioner be paid difference of the regular salary and subsistence allowance, in spite of the letter dated 30<sup>th</sup> January 2006 sent by the Chief Medical and Health Officer, Jashpur to respondent No.2 and also in spite of undertaking (Annexure-P/5) given to respondent No.2, the amount is not being paid.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that after that the petitioner made a representation to respondent No.2, even then the payment is not being made. Learned counsel further submitted that in the circumstances, respondent No.2 be directed to decide representation of the petitioner considering the orders Annexure-P/2 and Annexure-P/4 to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the record, prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation and claim of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from today and pass appropriate order, in accordance with law.</p> <p>With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.</p>	

Sd/-  
L.C. Bhadoo  
Judge

Barue)

5/7/06