

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

ORDER SHEET TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) No. 1 of 200 6

DIL HASAN ANSARI Petitioner / Appellayit

Versus

STATE OF SIKKIM Respondent

Senal Vo. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
	,		- 41
1.	10.05.2006	Heard Mr. Amit Basu, learned Counsel assisted	
		by Mr. D. B. Katwal, learned Counsel for the	
		Petitioner. Also heard Mr. J. B. Pradhan, learned	
a.		Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr. Karma Thinlay,	
		lcarned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State -	
		Respondent.	
1		In course of hearing, it transpired that GR Case	1.
		No.28 of 2005 pending in the Court of learned Chief	
		Judicial Magistrate (East and North) at Gangtok was	
		transferred from the Court of the Sessions Judge	
		(South & West) at Namchi, by an administrative order	
		passed by the High Court, as the lcarned Chief	
		Judicial Magistrate (South and West) at Namchi had	
		recorded statements under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and	
		there was no other Court, which could try the case	
		at Namchi. However, no copy of the order has been	
		annexed with the application. On being pointed out,	
		the learned Counsel for the Petitioner prays for a	
		short adjournment, so as to enable him to produce	



of of ler Order

Order with Signature

Office Note to action (if any) taken on Order

the copies along with an application for incorporating the same in the present application.

With the consent of the learned Public Prosecutor, the Petitioner is allowed a week's time.

List this matter for further hearing on 19th
May, 2006.

At/

(A. P. Subba)

Judge

 19.05.06 Heard Mr. Amit Bose, Ld. Counsel assisted by Mr. T. Namgyal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Ld. Public Prosecutor.

The petitioner has filed an amendment application duly annexing certified photocopies of relevant documents in terms of the order dated 10.05.2006. Copy of the application has been served on the respondent State.

As per Annexure P-5 the G.R. Case No. 28 of 2005 was transferred to the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, (East & North) at Gangtok from the court of Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate (South & West) at Namchi since the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate (South & West) had recorded the 164 statements and was listed as prosecution witnesses. It is stated that the place of occurrence falls in Jorethang in South District and the court of Judicial Magistrate at Namchi, South District which has jurisdiction over the matter now being functional an alternative forum is presently available. It is also stated that since witnesses in the case all hail from South District it will be more convenient if the case is transferred to South District as prayed for.

Keeping in view of the fact that normally the court within whose jurisdiction the place of occurrence falls will have jurisdiction to try the case

