		Orders
Sr. No.	Date	Orders
. **. *	s	% 30.09.2005
		Present: Mr. V.K. Makhija, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Vandana Khurana, Advocate for the Plaintiff. Mr. Rajiv Yadav, Advocate for Defendant No.2. Mr. Jagdeep Anand, advocate for defendant No.3. Mr. Shailendra Paul, Advocate for defendants 4, 5 and 6.
•		+IA No 7631/2004 ( O 39 R 2A CPC) in Test Cas 18/2004
		* Learned counsel for defendant No.3 states that
4		defendant No.3 was and continues to be in possession of
		property bearing No.H-3/11 Model Town, Delhi. Learned
ير		counsel further states that defendant No.3 was using the
		premises sometime for storage of his goods but that stands
		removed and now he or his daughter stays there.
		In view of the aforesaid position, no further directions
v. <del>d∎</del> e	,	are called for on this application.
~ <del>~</del> ;		The application stands disposed of.
		<u>Test. Cas.18/2004</u>
		This is a petition seeking probate of the will of late
		Shri Sat Narain Tulsian dated 26.01.2003. Late Shri Tulsian
		passed away on 06.02.2003 and his death certificate is
		attached to the petition which is not disputed by the
		respondents. The movable and immovable assets which are
Signature Not	Verified	dealt with under the will have been stated in Annexures A to C
Digitally Signed By:Al Certify that the digital physical file have been the digital data is as be	file and compared and r the physical	of the petition.
file and no page is miss		26 11/05

SACHKHAND PRINTERS 01/05

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
Sr. No.	Date	25
	·	Late Shri Tulsian was survived by the petitioner and
	·	other legal heirs mentioned in para 5 of the petition. Along with
		the petition affidavits of no objection were already filed by two
		daughters of late Shri Tulsian. The three sons and two
		grandsons from the fourth son are the beneficiaries under the
•		will who had entered into an agreement dated 22.02.2003
		affirming to the will.
		It is stated that the deceased was a resident of Delhi
		and owned properties in Delhi. The probate petition is not
		opposed.
		The affidavit by way of evidence was filed of Shri Hari
		Babu Goel one of the attesting witnesses who has also
		appeared in Court and affirmed to the affidavit on 31.08.2005.
.40		The will dated 26.01.2003 is exhibited PW 1/1. The attesting
		witness has deposed that on point 'A on the will late Shri Sat
⊁ <b>≜</b> 1		Narain Tulsian penned his signatures in the presence of the
<i>.</i> ♣ <i>₽</i>		attesting witness and the attesting witness signed at point 'C'
		on the will in the presence of the testator and other attesting
		witness Shri Ajay Bansal had signed on point 'B' in his presence.
		There is no executor of the will.
		In view of the aforesaid, I am satisfied that there is no
		impediment to the grant of letter of administration with the will

annexed dated 26.01.2003.

H.C.DI (a)	Continuation	Sheet
Sr. Nø.	Date	Orders
. •		Notice have been issued to the Chief Controller,
		Revenue, but no objections to the valuation as stated in the
<i>2</i>	·	petition have been filed.
		In view thereof, the petitioner shall pay the requisite
,		court fee and on such court fees being paid the letter of
		administration annexing the will be issued under Section 278 o
SH		the Indian Succession Act.
re In		The petition stands disposed of.
		IA No.1936/2005
		In view of the letter of administration having been
		granted, no directions are called for in this application.
		The application stands disposed of.
e de la companya de l		September 30, 2005 SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, J.
		Foch 1.A Sigilor