



Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI</p> <p>+ S.T.C. 3/2003</p> <p>M/S BHARTI TRADERS Petitioner Through : Mr. D.M. Sinha, Adv.</p> <p>versus</p> <p>THE SALES TAX OFFICER & ORS. Respondent Through : Mr. H.L. Taneja, Adv.</p> <p>CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR</p> <p>ORDER 10.02.2005</p> <p>%</p> <p>Vide order dated 16th November, 2004 the learned counsel had sought an adjournment to file a separate reference application in the subject matter of the petition.</p> <p>On 3rd January, 2005, the counsel had stated that he has already filed a separate reference. From the record before us this appears to be factually incorrect. On 24th January, 2005, the learned counsel had filed an amended question of law in the Sales Tax Case already pending. We do not think it was correct compliance of the orders of the Court and the law governing the subject.</p>

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: A. JULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>In the circumstances aforenoticed, the learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to file two separate petitions in accordance with law.</p> <p>The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Liberty as prayed for, is granted.</p> <p> SWATANTER KUMAR, J</p> <p> MADAN B. LOKUR, J</p> <p>FEBRUARY 10, 2005 dk'</p> <p><u>S.T.C. 3/2003</u> <u>Pg. 2 of 2</u></p>