Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		% 21.07.2005
		Present: Mr A.K. Bhardwaj for the Petitioner. Mr P.M. Mittal for the Respondent.
	ð	+ CM Nos.14455/2004 and 14456/2004 in CEAC 12/2004 *
		There is a delay of 175 days in filing this petition and more than
		1000 days delay in refiling of the papers. CMs 14455/2004 and
		14456/2004 pray for condonation of the said delay. Insofar as the delay
		in filing is concerned, the only reason advanced in the application by
		the petitioner is that the same has occurred on account of frequent
		transfers of the officers dealing with the matters and the resultant
		communication gap between the department and the counsel appearing
	.	for it. The application does not, however, set out any particulars or
		details of the officers who were posted during the relevant period or
		the number of transfers that have taken place during the interregnum.
		While it is true that in matters of condonation of delay, the court takes
		a liberal view, in cases where pubic functionaries are involved, on

which the applicant must do is to assert the facts on the basis of which the delay can be held to be justified or explainable. The applications in

account of the impersonal nature of its functioning, yet the minimum

the present case clearly fall short of the said requirement and do not

make out any cogent reason for the inordinate delay that occurred in

the filing and refiling of the papers.

Signature Not



Sr. No.	Date	Orders
·	k	
		2. We, accordingly, dismiss CM Nos. 14455/2004 and 14456/20
		and consequently the reference application shall consequently st
		dismissed as barred by limitation.
	•	
		T.S. THAKUR, J
		BADAR DURREZ AHMED,
)		July 21, 2005
		sd.
İ		
,		
	!	
		·
		·
·		