

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION No.15102 OF 2005

Between:

Shaik Akbar, S/o.Sk.Silar, Un-3employee,
Minority Muslim, aged 42 years,
R/o.H.No.7-293, Mosque Street,
Raghavaiahpeta, Sullurupeta,
Nellore District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Government of India, rep. by the
Controller, Shar Centre,
Sriharikota, Nellore, and others.

....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE

J.CHELAMESWAR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE

RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION No.15102 OF 2005

O R D E R

(Per Sri Justice J.Chelameswar)

Aggrieved by an order in O.A.No.373 of 2003 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad, dated 06.06.2005, the unsuccessful applicant preferred the present writ petition.

The petitioner applied for the post of Tradesman-A in Shar Centre, ISRO, Sriharikota in response to an advertisement dated 11.10.1996 to fill up four vacancies of Tradesman. It appears that the petitioner appeared for the interview before the Selection Committee and the Committee has selected a panel of 20 candidates according to the merit. The name of the petitioner figured at Sl.No.18 in the said list. As there are only four vacancies available, the first four candidates were immediately appointed and it appears that the 5th candidate was appointed some time in the month of June, 1998. It also appears from the impugned order that another notification was issued on 11.01.1999 calling for the applications. The petitioner once again applied unsuccessfully. Subsequently, after a lapse of four years from the second application and seven years from the first application, the petitioner approached the Central Administrative Tribunal seeking a declaration that the action of the respondents herein in not considering the petitioner for appointment is arbitrary and illegal. The Tribunal by the impugned order dismissed the said O.A. for valid reasons. The above-mentioned facts speak for themselves and do not require any further explanation to justify the order of the Tribunal.

We do not see any merit in the writ petition and the same is dismissed at the stage of admission itself. No costs.

JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

31st OCTOBER, 2005

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE
J.CHELAMESWAR
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE
RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION No.15102 OF 2005

(Per Sri Justice J.Chelameswar)

31st OCTOBER, 2005