

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY  
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

-

**WRIT PETITION NO : 1017 of 2005**

Between:

Suresh Kumar Jain, S/o. Jamatraj Jain,  
R/o. H.No. 15-8-113, Feelkhana, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

**AND**

- 1 The Station House Officer, Ghatkesar Police Station,  
Ranga Reddy District.
- 2 The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.1 in interfering with the civil dispute in O.A.No. 641 of 2004 pending on the file of the I Additional Senior Civil Judge, R.R. District and thereby calling the petitioner to the Police Station as highly illegal and arbitrary and to pass any other relief.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.ABDUL NAJEEB KHAN**

**Counsel for the Respondents.: GP FOR HOME**

The Court at the admission stage, made the following :

-  
-  
-  
-

-

**ORDER:**

Petitioner states that he filed O.S.No.641 of 2004 in the Court of I Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District, for the relief of cancellation of document Nos.604 and 605 of 1999 executed by VST workers Co-operative Housing Society. The trial Court is said to have granted an order of *status quo* in that suit. Petitioner complains that the Sub Inspector of Police, Ghatkesar called him to the police station on 31.12.2004, 03.01.2005 and on 12.01.2005 and is pressurizing him to withdraw the civil suit. He seeks appropriate directions from this Court in this regard.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Home.

3. If what is stated by the petitioner is true, the first respondent deserves to be proceeded against, in law. A police official is not supposed to interfere in civil matters, particularly when suits in relation to any property are pending. It is rather unfortunate that in the recent past, interference by police in civil matters has increased. Even where the parties approach the police to resolve civil disputes, they are not supposed to entertain the same. Since full facts are not before this Court, no finding is recorded at this stage. However, the respondents cannot be permitted to indulge in settlement of civil disputes.

4. Hence the writ petition is disposed of directing that the respondents shall not interfere with the civil disputes in relation to land, which is the subject matter of O.S.No.641 of 2004 on the file of I Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District. Any attempt to exert pressure on the petitioner in future to come to settlement would be viewed seriously and consequences therefor would ensue. There shall be no order as to costs.

---

**L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J**

**Dated : 31.01.2005**

RAR

To

- 1 The Station House Officer, Ghatkesar Police Station,  
Ranga Reddy District.
- 2 The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad.
- 3 2 CCs to G.P for Home, High Court Bldgs,Hyd. (OUT)
- 4 2 CD copies.