IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 321 OF 1995

The Commissioner of Income Tax, Bombay .. Applicant V/s.

M/s. Hem Holdings & Trading Ltd., Bombay-21 .. Respondent

 $\mbox{Mr. R.V. Desai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. S.V. Bharucha for the <math display="inline">\mbox{\sc Applicant}$

None present for the Respondent

CORAM : S. RADHAKRISHNAN &

J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.

DATED : 28.02.2005

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Applicant. The substantial question of law referred to reads as under:-

- "1. Whether on the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the assessee was entitled to investment allowance under Sec. 32 A of the I.T. Act, 1961, in respect of the machinery given on hire/lease to the outsiders including customers?"
- 2. The learned counsel for the Applicant fairly states that the above question is squarely covered by judgment of the Supreme Court in CIT v. Shaan Finance (P) Ltd. (1998) 231 ITR 308 (SC) in favour of the assessee and against the Revenue. Accordingly, the question is answered in the affirmative, in favour of the assessee and against the Revenue.

(S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.)