IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 5 OF 1988.

The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. Shailesh Wife Trust. ... Respondent. AND INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 260 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Thermax Pvt.Ltd. ... Respondent. **AND** INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 262 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Shotblasting & Metal Spraying Pvt.Ltd. ... Respondent. **AND** INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 333 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. Shri J.M.Shah. ... Respondent. AND INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 336 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. Capt.A.K.Dhawan. ... Respondent. AND INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 341 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Mulay Brothers. ... Respondent. **AND** INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 343 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Parle Products P.Ltd. ... Respondent. AND INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 344 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Equipment Hoses Ltd. ... Respondent.

AND INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 354 OF 1988.

The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. Shri L.R.Mewani. ... Respondent. AND INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 358 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. The Trustees of Jitendra Narottam Edu. & Medical P.Ch.Trust. ... Respondent. **AND** INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 365 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Hydronauts Pvt.Ltd. ... Respondent. **AND** INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 367 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. Hemant Family Trust No. ... Respondent. **AND** INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 371 OF 1988. The Commissioner of Income-Tax ... Applicant. V/s. M/s.Dolphin Fisheries Pvt.Ltd. ... Respondent. Ashok Kotangale for the applicant/revenue. None for the respondents/assessees. CORAM: V.C.DAGA AND A.S.AGUIAR, JJ. DATED: 30th June 2005. **P.C.**: Heard learned counsel for applicant-revenue. Perused reference proceedings. In all these matters, it is brought to our Central notice that the instructions issued by the

27th Board of Direct New Delhi dated March, Taxes, 2000; wherein monetary limit for the department for High earlier filing reference to the Court fixed for Rs.50,000/came to be revised and fresh instructions by the Board file are issued to reference only in cases where tax effect exceeds Rs.2,00,000/-.

- 4. This Court in the case of **C.I.T. v. Camco**
- **Colour Co.**, (2002) 254 ITR 565 ruled that the above instructions are binding on the department.

references having negligible tax effect.

- The above instructions dated 27th March, 5. 2000 reflects the decision the Board policy taken by raise questions of law where the tax effect not to than is less the amount prescribed in the instructions with a view to reduce litigations before High Courts and Supreme court. The circular There is binding on the Revenue. is no justification to proceed with the decades old
- 6. We, thus, do not think it necessary to
 answer these references. Accordingly, the above references stand returned unanswered with no order as to costs.

(A.S.AGUIAR, J.) (V.C.DAGA, J.)