IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY CIVIL APPELLATE CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.3 OF 2005 IN FIRST APPEAL NO.989 OF 1988

Pune Bottling Co. Ltd.....Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.....Respondents

Mr. Kiran Nankani with Mr.M.C.Shah, for the Applicants Mr. R.V. Desai with Mr. Dubey, for the Respondents

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO & Anoop V.Mohta,JJ. DATE: 27th April, 2005

P.C.:

This Review is directed against the order of this

Court dated 19th August, 2004 in First Appeal No.989 of 1988.

2. We have heard learned Counsel for the parties. After

hearing and considering the grounds raised we do not find there is review any error apparent which will require except to clarify that in view of the statutory right which the applicant has to move the statutory authorities for refund they may do so.

In the light of that the following order:-

(i). Review Application dismissed. However, it will be

Review Statutory open to the **Applicant** to move the Authorities for refund. It is for Authorities consider the the to application and dispose of according law. It may be to clarified Applicant that Review had earlier filed an

application application refund. It is that which should for be considered by the authorities subject to whatever respondents If contentions the herein may have. the amount which is directed brought in by Review Applicant to be the 2004 pursuant the order dated 19th August, along with to the 6%, if applicant interest at of the succeeds rate review will be paid back along with interest which has been paid by the Review Applicant.

. If the Respondents apply for restitution in terms of
the order of this Court in First Appeal, the trial Court to
dispose of the Application within two months after it being
filed.

Review Application disposed of accordingly.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)