FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY APPELLATE SIDE.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 29 OF 2005.

Tarun R. Rathi ..Petitioner. VS. State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, : Court's or Judge's orders appearnaces, Courts's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.:

> Ms. Pallavi Ashar holding for A.M. Saraogi for the petitioner. Shri A.S. Shitole, A.P.P. for the State.

> > CORAM: V.M.KANADE,J. DATED: 28-2-2005.

P.C.:

2.

Heard learned Counsel appearing for 1. the petitioner.

The grievance of the petitioner is the discharge which that application for is filed by him in the trial Court has not decided Offence been far. is so registered against the petitioner under 471, 420 sections 467, 468, read with 120B Indian Penal Code. section of the offence The said is cognizable offence and application filed by the petitioner section 245 of Cri.P.C., being under the the trial Court should consider the application merits and decide the same expeditiously possible and in any as as case, within period of 2 months.

that if Needless to state any adverse is the order passed, petitioner will be at appropriate proceeding liberty take to against the said order. Certified copy expedited.
