

c.s. 1007

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO/204 of 2005

**PETITIONER**


Dr. Aditya Prasad Tiwari,  
son of late Shri Bhagwati Prasad Tiwari,  
aged about 69 years. resident of Danteshwari  
Marg, Near New Colony, Kushalpur, Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through  
its Secretary,  
Department of Higher Education, D.K.S. Building,  
Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioners,  
Department of Higher Education. (Non Government  
Educational Institution) (Pension Cell) Science  
College Compound, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Director,  
Directorate of Higher Education, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1194/05  
Presented by Shri. Sameer Bhat  
dated 18-05-05



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 1204/2005  
मामला क्रमांक ..... सं. 200

विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b><u>31.03.2005</u></b></p> <p>Shri Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a retired Professor. He has retired on 31.1.97 from S.N.G. College Mungeli (C.G.). Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is entitled for fixation of revised pay scale on the basis of recommendation of Vth pay commission and thereafter he is entitled for fixation of pension and gratuity with arrears etc. He also submits that the petitioner has made representations vide Annexures-P/3 &amp; P/4 but no action has been taken till date.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that for the present petition may be disposed of with a direction to file afresh representation along with copy of this order before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to decide the same within stipulated period granted by this Court.</p> <p>This limited prayer is not oppose by the State counsel.</p> <p>The prayer seems to be reasonable and it is allowed.</p>	

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1204/2005  
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>Consequently, if so advised, the petitioner may file afresh representation within a period of one month from today along with copy of writ petition and copy of this order before the competent authority and if such representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of representation by reasoned order on each and every point raised by the petitioner. The copy of order shall be communicated to the petitioner by the authority concerned.</p> <p>With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p>	<p>Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge</p>

(पीछे देखिये)

2/4/05