

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR WRIT PETITION NO. \$322 /2005

PETITIONER

Rajnikant Pandey,

S/O.Late Shri G.C. Pandey

Aged about 56 years

Presently Holding the Post of Ranger at Range

Rengakhar, R/o. Village Rengakhar, District -

Kabirdham (C.G.)

VERSUS

/2.

presented by Shri. ATT SINGH dated. 25/10/105

RESPONDENTS 1.

State of Chhattisgarh

Through the Secretary

Forest Department,

D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

Principal Chief Conservator of Forest

Forest Department Raipur (C.G.)

•

The Divisional Forest Officer Forest Division, Kawardha,

District Kabirdham (C.G.)

Shri S.L. Sardul

Ranger, Posted at

Special Duty Forest Division Mahasamund,

District - Mahasamund (C.G.)

At present working at Village Rengakhar,

Kawardha, District Kabirdham (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE DIRECTIONS, ORDERS OR WRITS INCLUDING WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERITIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

The humble petition of the petitioner has named most respectfully sheweth under:-

31)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक https:// 5322/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

	आदश पत्रक (पूवानुबद्ध)
आदेशका दिनांक तथा आदेशक्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28/10/2005
	Shri Ajit Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner.
	Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.
er en	Heard on admission.
Jan 11 €	+3.4 , -3.6 , -3.6 , -3.6
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
- 1946 - 1971 こんと	was working as a Range Officer at Rengakhar, District Kabirdham. E
	an order dated 3/9/2005, the respondent No.4 was transferred from
	Mahasamund to Rengakhar and the petitioner was not transferre
	from Rengakhar to any other place. When such situation arose, the
٠	petitioner filed a Writ Petition bearing No.4465/2005. This Wi
	Petition came up for hearing before this court on 23/9/2005 and th
	Court while dismissing the Writ Petition observed that the petitione
	cannot complain of infringement of any legal or statutory right whe
	no order adverse to him had been passed. The petitioner may ver
	will continue till some order is passed transferring him from the
	present place of posing to any other place.
	Learned counsel submits that thereafter, the impugned order
	has been passed on 20/10/2005 and it has been directed that the
	new incumbent shall be given the charge of the Office and the
	petitioner shall be kept as the Officer on Special Duty.
	I do not find any infringement in the aforesaid action taken b
	the State.
	The petiton has no merit, and the same is dismissed.
. :	The petiton has no men, and the same is dismissed.
	Sd/-

my-

Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge