

SINGLE BENCH

C. 8/1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 523 OF 2005

PETITIONER:

✓ Smt. Damayanti Verma, wife of Kishan Kumar Verma, aged about 29 years, resident of Quarter No.3-D, Street:6, Sector-8, Bhilainagar, dist. Durg (C.G), Occupation: Shiksha Karmi Grade-II, Raipur (C.G).

Vs.

RESPONDENTS:

- ✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh: through: Secretary, Panchayat Department, Raipur (C.G).
- ✓ 2. State of Chhattisgarh: through: Secretary, School Education Department, Raipur (C.G).
- ✓ 3. Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Panchayat, Raipur (C.G).
- ✓ 4. Principal, Government Higher Secondary School, Jarve, dist. Raipur (C.G)
- ✓ 5. Principal, Government Middle School, Chapora, Block Dharsiwa, Raipur (C.G).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 5213 सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>28.10.2005</u></p> <p><u>W.P. No. 5213/2005</u></p> <p>Dr. Shailesh Ahuja, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The petitioner, who was working on the post of Assistant Teacher in Primary School, Palari, was transferred from Palari to Middle School, Dharsiwa vide order dated 11.8.2004 (Annexure P-5) on the ground of husband and wife to be kept at the same place because the husband of the petitioner was working at Dharsiwa. Later on, the effect and operation of this order was stayed by another order dated 19.8.2004 (Annexure P-7) and the petitioner was again directed to return back to Primary School, Palari.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now the husband of the petitioner has been transferred from Dharsiwa to Raipur, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in going to Dharsiwa and for the time being she will be happy at Palari and in any case she would like to go to Raipur so that she may live alongwith her husband at Raipur.</p> <p>Since it is a subsequent development, therefore, for the present learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
sunita	<p>representation before the competent authority and the competent authority may be directed to dispose of the same within the stipulated period granted by this Court.</p> <p>This limited prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel.</p> <p>The prayer is allowed.</p> <p>If so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this order and copy of writ petition before the concerned authority within a period of one month from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the aforesaid representation.</p> <p>With the above observations, this petition stands finally disposed of at this stage.</p> <p>Consequently, M.W.P.No. 4215/2005 and I.A.No. 9075/2005 for urgent hearing stand disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules</p>	<p>Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge</p>

28/10/08
13/10/08
29/7/08