

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT

BILASPUR. (CG)

WRIT PETITION No: 296 /2005

PETITIONERS:

- ✓ 1. Accent Infotech, Mukul Nagar, Raipur  
represented by Shri Ashish Mishra,  
Proprietor of the firm.
2. Suchna Prodhoyogiki Avam Sanganak  
Sansthan, Mangla, Represented by Shri  
Rama Rao s/o P. S. Rao
3. Unique Computer System  
Nand Bhawan, Gandhigunj,  
Raigarh, Represented by Shri Manas  
Agarwal, Proprietor.
4. M/s Asset Computer Graphics  
L/2 Vinoba Nagar, Bilaspur.  
Represented by Shri Mahesh Patel,  
Sole Proprietor.
5. M/s. Prasad Enterprises,  
Indrajeet Complex, High Court Road,  
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, Represented by  
Shri Anup Prasad, Sole Proprietor.
6. Bharat Printing Press  
Tilak Nagar, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.  
Represented by Shri Pankaj Bhargav,  
Sole Proprietor.
7. Neha offset, Shed Chow, Nr. Agama  
Ashram, Raigarh, Vinod Agawam,  
Sole Proprietor.
8. Satyam Shri Infotech, Tilak Nagar,  
Bilaspur represented by Shri Pallav  
Chakravorty
9. Jashpur Info Tech, Vivekandand  
Colony, Jashpur, Represented by Shri  
Prajapati, Sole Proprietor.
- ✓ 10. doc tec Print solutions,  
Gangotri complex, Supela, Bhilai.  
Represented by Shri Prashant Samal,  
Technical Director.



Received  
27/1/05  
10.17 A.M.

P.R. No. 296/05  
Presented by Shri. 50 person  
dated 27-01-05

Single Bench

1

- ✓ 11. Chhattisgarh Data Processors Association. Through it's Treasurer Shri Pankaj Bhargav, s/o Late H.S.Bhargav, residing at Kududand, Bilaspur.

## VERSUS

### **RESPONDENTS:**

- ✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Chief Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh. Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur. (CG)
- ✓ 2. Principal Secretary, Department of Industries and Commerce, D. K. S. Bhawan. Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 3. Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, D. K. S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 4. Principal Secretary, Department of Law And legislative(Election) affairs D. K. S. Bhawan Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 5. The Chief Electoral Officer, Indravati Khand, Mantralaya Parisar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 6. Election Commission of India. Through State Chief Electoral Officer, "Nirvachan Sadan" Ashoka road, New Delhi.



## PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

### 1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS

As given in the cause-title of the petition

### 2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS

As given in the cause-title of the petition

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. 296/

सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार  
के अंतिम आदेश

31.1.2005

Heard Shri V.G. Tamaskar, counsel for the petitioners.

The matter is still defective. Vakalatnama is not signed by all the petitioners and some pages of the petition are dim and not legible. Therefore, two weeks' time is allowed to learned counsel for the petitioners to cure the defect, failing which the matter shall be dismissed without reference to the Court.

It has been noticed that the Registry is registering the matters even though they are defective. So far the matters are defective those matters should not be registered. The matter can be registered only after the defects are cured. If any party insists for listing of the matter with defect, then it should be listed as defective matter without registration of the same.

Sd/-  
L.C. Bhadoo  
Judge

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... ८४ २१६ ..... सन् २००५

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुवर्ध)

| का दिनांक<br>रक्ष क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी सजिस्टार<br>के अंतिम आदेश                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                           |                     | <p>4/9/05</p> <p>This case No. 896/05 is<br/>framed as dismissed<br/>for non compliance of<br/>peremptory court's order<br/>dated 31/01/2005 (for details<br/>note sheet - part may kindly<br/>be seen)</p> <p>Caused be informed<br/>accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>[Signature]<br/>78/17</p> |