



IN THE HON^{BLE} HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CIVIL REVISION NO. 200 /2004 Single Bench

APPLICANT

:- Smt. Ramkumari aged about 45 years,
widow of late Panchram Satnami,
R/o. village Janji, P.S. Seepat.
Tah. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).

CLAIMANT

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANTS

- :-
1. Santosh Kumar Satnami, S/o.
Butanu, R/o. village Janji,
Tah. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).
 2. Ramadhar S/o. Runwa, R/o. Mulmula
Police Station Pamgarh, Present
R/o. A/196 Basant Vihar, S.E.C.L.
Bilaspur (C.G.).
 3. United Insurance Company Limited
Korba, through :- Branch Manager
Transport Nagar, Korba, Distt.
Korba (C.G.).

NON-CLAIMANTS

CIVIL REVISION U/S 115 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE .

by the order dated

P.R. No. 2532/09
Presented by Shri. P.K. Prasad
dated 29.11.09

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक C. Rev. 200/04 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>28/02/2005</p> <p>Shri Malay Kumar Bhaduri, counsel for the applicant. Shri Abhishek Sinha, counsel for respondent No.3. Heard.</p> <p>The instant revision petition has been filed by the applicant as he is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 10/09/2004 passed by the Claims Tribunal, Bilaspur by which the application of the applicant/claimant for release of Rs. 45,000/- from her F.D.R. account, which was deposited as per directions of the learned Claims Tribunal has been rejected.</p> <p>Learned counsel for applicant submits that he has filed the application for release of the above amount on the ground that she needed the same for the marriage of her daughter and also for her treatment. However the same was dismissed by the Claims Tribunal stating that already a substantial sum has been withdrawn by the applicant out of the awarded sum.</p> <p>From the perusal of the impugned order, it is clear that she has already withdrawn Rs. 95,600/- from the awarded sum on the same grounds.</p> <p>Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the infirmities passed in the order of Claims Tribunal, the instant revision petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly it is dismissed.</p> <p>In view of the above, I.A. No. 3490/2004 and 3491/2004 stand disposed of.</p>	

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge