



Division Bench (Civil)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

MISC. PETITION NO. 3345 OF 1992



PETITIONER :-

P.K.Shukla (Praphull Kumar Shukla)  
S/o Shri S.L.Shukla aged about 42  
years resident of Rawan Bhatha Math  
Purenna Raipur, M.P. working as  
Upper Division Clerk Exam Section  
RaviShankar University Raipur Distt.  
Raipur, M.P.

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

- R-1. Vice Chancellor Ravi Shanker  
University Raipur, M.P.
- R-2. Ravi Shankar University  
through its Registrar  
Raipur, M.P.
3. Chancellor of Universities  
Bhopal, M.P. Raj Bhawan Bhopal, M.P.
- R-4. The State of Madhya Pradesh  
through its Secretary Finance  
Department Ballabh Bhawan  
Bhopal, M.P.
- R-5. Secretary Higher Education  
Department of Govt. of M.P.  
Bhopal, M.P.
6. Director Local Fund Audit  
Gwalior Moti Mahal Gwalior,  
M.P.
7. Deputy Director Local & Fund Audit  
in front of D.K.Hospital Raipur  
G.E.Road Raipur Distt. Raipur, M.P.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



(61)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 3345/92 ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b>30.06.2005</b></p> <p>Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Sangeeta Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. J.R. Verma, learned counsel for respondents 1 to 3.</p> <p>Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for respondents 4 to 7.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made several representations to the authorities vide Annexure P-8 dated 30.09.1985, Annexure P-9 dated 02.12.1985, Annexure P-10 dated 06.08.1986, Annexure P-11 dated 06.04.1988 Annexure P-12 dated 29.03.1990, Annexure P-13 dated 18.01.1990, Annexure P-14 dated 07.02.1990, Annexure P-15 dated 15.02.1990, Annexure P-16 dated 05.04.1990, Annexure P-17 dated 03.05.1990 and Annexure P-22 dated 17.08.1992. According to the petitioner, the said representations have not been considered so far.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the prayer of the petitioner that a direction be given to the respondents to consider the representations of the petitioners expeditiously.</p> <p>Accordingly, the concerned authorities are directed to decide the above said representations of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.</p> <p>In view of the above the petition stands disposed of.</p>	

Sd/-  
SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI  
Judge

Subby

SP/FS/2005-20,000

4.7.05  
3.8.05