

6-A 340-190 (2)  
APPEAL OF PRISONER Division Bench (Criminal)

No. 2686 Name रानी बुधराम  
Father's Name वटल Residence नाम बुलाय गेडने  
Age 35 वर्ष Sentenced to आजीवन कारावास  
on 19/6/90 Under section 302 P.C. by महानि. बल  
S.T.N. 251/88 यथा समक्ष

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by a legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the case for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may be heard at all if the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of application for copy of Judgement : NO
2. Date of which copy received : 19/6/90
3. Date on which Appeal sent : 24/6/90
4. Whether the prisoner wished to be represented or not : Yes ✓ No ✓

No. 2686 Name रानी बुधराम वटल  
Continued in 27/6/90 Jail JALPAUR  
No. 60/90 dated 18/7/90

Forwarded to the CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE JALPAUR  
to gather with a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court.

Superintendent Central / Distt. / Sub Jail

Date of receipt in C.J.M.'s Office  
Date of receipt of record to accompany  
Memo of Appeal of the Appellate Court

No. 24/90 Dated 18/7/90

Forwarded to the Session Judge Jalpur

C.J. Magistrate ..... JALPAUR

आदेश पत्रक  
मामला क्रमांक C.A. 940/90 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b>Division Bench :</b> <b>Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin, J &amp;</b> <b>Hon'ble Shri Dilip Deshmukh, J</b></p> <p><b>30-06-2005</b></p> <p>None for the appellant.</p> <p>Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Advocate and Shri Akhil Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the State informs that the appellant Reyami Budhram has been released from Central Jail, Jagdalpur on 18-12-2002 on completion of sentence.</p> <p>When the case is called neither the appellant nor his counsel is present. It is indicative of the fact that the appellant is not interested in pursuing his appeal any more.</p> <p>In the above circumstances and in the light of decision of the Apex Court rendered in the case of <u>Ram Naresh Yadav and</u> <u>Others vs. State of Bihar</u> reported in <u>AIR 1987 SC 1500</u>, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p>	
	<p><b>Sd/-</b> <b>Fakhruddin</b> <b>Judge</b></p>	<p><b>Sd/-</b> <b>Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh</b> <b>Judge</b></p>