

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

**ORDER SHEET** Review Petition No. 8 of 200 4 (Arising out of Disposed RSR No.1/04) Petitioner / Appellant KAZIMIT LEPCHA Versus DIKILA BHUTIA (SUBBA) Respondent

Office Note as to Serial Date action (if any) Order with Signature No. of of taken on Order Order Order Heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned counsel 22-12-2004 Receipt tolled for for the petitioner. Admit the petition. Call for the records. Let usual1 noticebe issued upon the respondent which is made returnable on 9-3-2005 for which the while biseud on 24-12-04. petitioner requires to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent within three puller the enclose days. AD aced. bu Now the List it on 9-3-2005 for further orders. (N. Surjamani Singh) 'Chief Justice (Actg.) rsr/ The matter is ripe for hearing. 9-3-2005 2. Heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. A. Moulik, learned counsel for the respondent. As prayed for by the learned counsel for the parties, the matter be listed on

11-4-2005 for hearing.

(N. Sur\jamani Singh) Chief Justice (Acting)

rsr/

V		
of of of ler Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
3. 11-4-200	As prayed for by Mr. B. Sharma, learned	
	counsel for the petitioner; the matter be listed for	,
	hearing on 24-5-2005.	
	N.3 Qee	. <u>.</u>
	(N. Surjamani-Singh)  Chief Justice(Acting)	
<b>3.</b> 24 <b>-</b> 5-200!	As prayed for by Mr. N.G. Sherpa,	
	learned counsel on behalf of Mr. A. Moulik,	
	learned senior counsel, this case be listed	•
	on 24-6-2005. The prayer for adjournment is	· .
	not objected by Mr. B. Sharma, learned counse	1
	appearing for the petitioner.	
	· N. g lee.	,
	(N. Surjamani Singh) Chief Justice (Acting)	
rsr/		,
	. /	
	• .	
	· -,	
-		



Seriai No. of Order	ਪ੍ਰਕਾਦ of Order
5. 2	4-6-20

Order with Signature

Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order

00\$

It is submitted at the bar by the learned senior counsels for the parties that the parties may make an attempt to settle the dispute and their differences amicably outside of the Court for which they need some time which according to me the request is reasonable. Accordingly, this case be listed on 1-8-2005 for necessary order.

(N. Surjamani Singh) Chief Justice (Acting)

rsr/

26-8-2005

Miss Rita Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner sought for some time so as to enable the parties to have amicable settlement. Learned counsel has also contended that concerned Panchayat had called the parties for amicable settlement.

Heard Mr. A. Moulik, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. N.G. Sherpa, learned counsel for the respondent. Be that as it may, the matter be listed on 23-9-2005 for necessary order.

> (N. Surjamani Singh) Chief Justice(Acting)

As directed he case be histed for Leaving. On 26.8.05.

No. of of Order	Order with Signature	Office No as to action (if any) taken on Order
7. 23-9-200	Upon hearing the learned counsel for the parties at some length, in presence of their respective clients; the parties prayed for some time so as to enable them to settle the matter amicably outside the	
	Court. In view of it, the matter be listed on 27-9-2005 for necessary orders.  (N. Surjamani Singh) Chief Justice (Acting)	
8. 27-9-200	As prayed for by the learned counsel for the parties, the matter be listed on 29-9-2005 for necessary orders.  (N.Surjamani Singh)  Chief Justice(Acting)	



Order with Signature

Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order

9. 29.9.05

Place this matter before any other Bench without me (Justice N.S. Singh) tomorrow after rising of the Division Bench.

> (N.S. Singh) Chief Justice (Actg.)

10. 30.9.0\$

prayed for by Mr. B.C. Sharma, learned counsel for the review applicant, the case adjourned is to 8.11.2005 according to him there is a chance of an amicable settlement between the parties.

> Buck (Binod Kumar Roy) Chief Justice

11. B.11.20¢5

Heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned senior counsel assisted by Miss Rita Sharma, Dearned counsel for the review applicant and Mr. A Moulik, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. N. G. Sherpa, learned counsel for the respondent.

As prayed for by the learned counsel for the parties, this matter be listed tomorrow so that they may avail of some time to work out amicable settlement if possible.

(A. P. Subba)

Judge



No. of Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order

12. 9.11.2005

Heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned senior

counsel assisted by Miss Rita Sharma, learned,

counsel for the petitioner and Mr. A. Moulik,

learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. N. G.

Sherpa, learned counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the parties that both parties have come to an amicable settlement and about 15 days time will be required for finalising the necessary documents. As prayed for by the parties, list this matter for orders on 25.11.2005.

( A.Pl. Subba )
Judge

13. 25.11.2005

Heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned senior counsel assisted by Miss Rita Sharma, learned counsel for the review petitioner and Mr. N. G. Sherpa, learned counsel assisted by Miss Kesang Diki Bhutia, learned counsel for the respondent.

Parties submit that the deed of compromise has been finalized and parties are only required to put their signatures, for which a few days time is prayed for.

As prayed for by the parties, list this matter for orders on 29.11.2005.

( A. P. Subba ) <u>Judge</u> 25.11.2005



No. of of Order

Order with Signature

Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order

14. 29.11.2005

Heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned senior counsel assisted by Miss Rita Sharma, learned counsel for the review petitioner and Mr. A. Moulik, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. N. G. Sherpa, learned counsel and Miss Kesang Diki Bhutia, learned counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted by the parties that the matter has been amicably settled, and in support thereof, they have filed a joint petition of compromise. The compromise petition has been duly signed by them and the brothers and sisters of the petitioner. Accordingly, as prayed for by the parties, the deed of compromise is taken on record and marked as 'x' for identification.

Since the matter is settled, this Revision Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. The parties shall be at liberty to take follow-up action in terms of the deed of compromise.

As prayed for, the judgment passed in Regular Second Appeal No.1 of 2004 dated 22.11.2004 by the concerned Bench of this Court, and the decree drawn up in terms of the judgment, shall stand merged with this order.

Pronounced.

Extre Cere reenels

9. DJ (E+ P) + 10

Youenels 9. Cont Suct

No. 3/00 forwarded

12 10-12-15.

latato

(A. P. Subba) Judge

29.11.200